



Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XI Laws of Minnesota 2020 Accomplishment Plan

General Information

Date: 11/01/2022

Project Title: Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XI

Funds Recommended: \$2,295,000

Legislative Citation: ML 2020, Ch. 104, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd 2(d)

Appropriation Language: \$2,295,000 the second year is to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with The Nature Conservancy, in cooperation with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, to acquire lands in fee or permanent conservation easements and to restore and enhance lands in the Northern Tallgrass Prairie Habitat Preservation Area in western Minnesota for addition to the Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge. Subject to evaluation criteria in Minnesota Rules, part 6136.0900, priority must be given to acquiring lands that are eligible for the native prairie bank under Minnesota Statutes, section 84.96, or lands adjacent to protected acquisitions must be provided as part of the required accomplishment plan, and the acquisitions must be consistent with the priorities in Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan.

Manager Information

Manager's Name: Ruth Thornton (Neal Feeken)

Title:

Organization: The Nature Conservancy

Address: 1101 West River Parkway Suite 200

City: Minneapolis, MN 55415

Email: nfeeken@tnc.org

Office Number: 6123310790

Mobile Number:

Fax Number:

Website:

Location Information

County Location(s): Rock, Pipestone, Big Stone, Jackson, Murray, Pope, Cottonwood, Norman, Lyon, Yellow Medicine, Becker, Stevens, Redwood, Wilkin, Nobles, Marshall, Mahnomen, Brown, Kittson, Renville, Stearns, Lincoln, Roseau, Clay, Chippewa, Polk, Grant, Otter Tail, Pennington, Traverse, Swift, Red Lake, Nicollet, Lac qui Parle and Kandiyohi.

Eco regions in which work will take place:

- Forest / Prairie Transition
- Prairie

Activity types:

- Protect in Easement
- Protect in Fee

Priority resources addressed by activity:

- Prairie

Narrative**Abstract**

The Nature Conservancy and US Fish and Wildlife Service will work together to permanently protect native prairie and associated complexes of wetlands and native habitats in western and central Minnesota by purchasing approximately 620 acres of fee title properties and/or permanent habitat easements. Approximately 333 acres will be native prairie. Work will be focused in areas identified as having significant biodiversity by the Minnesota Biological Survey and/or the US Fish and Wildlife Service and located in priority areas in the Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan.

Design and Scope of Work

The Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge (Refuge) was established in 2000 to address the loss of America's grasslands and the decline of grassland wildlife. The Refuge was created to permanently preserve and restore a portion of our disappearing tallgrass prairie. The Refuge is authorized to work in the prairie landscapes of western Minnesota and northwestern Iowa.

To date, the Refuge has protected 11,400 acres in Minnesota. Funding from the Outdoor Heritage Fund (OHF) will allow The Nature Conservancy (TNC) and US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), working in partnership, to significantly accelerate this progress. TNC and USFWS will cooperate on protecting approximately 620 acres of native prairie and associated habitat in the 49 Minnesota counties within the Refuge boundary. We expect to protect approximately 245 acres in fee title and approximately 375 acres with permanent habitat easements.

This program's work is targeted at protecting high-quality native habitat in areas with existing concentrations of native prairie, wetlands, and protected lands. The lands protected will consist of native prairie and associated habitats including wetlands, streams, coulees, and lakes.

Potential acquisitions are reviewed using the following criteria:

1) Is there untilled native prairie on the tract? If not, is it adjacent to untilled native prairie?

- 2) Is the property in a priority area (core/corridor/complex) identified in the Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan (Prairie Plan)?
- 3) Is it adjacent to an existing complex of protected land?
- 4) Was it identified by Minnesota Biological Survey (Biological Survey) or FWS biologists as having concentrations of threatened and endangered species and communities?
- 5) Is it suitable for public recreation?

Because of the nature of parcel ownership, some properties acquired through this program will likely include small areas of converted or degraded habitat needing restoration or enhancement work. Restoration and enhancement will be completed where needed. With this program's focus on native habitat, only a limited amount of cropland restoration has been required. The funds budgeted for this work are primarily for enhancement activities, including tree and brush removal, to prepare these properties for long-term, sustainable management.

Previous OHF support has allowed the partners to make significant progress towards our shared goal of protecting and buffering the remaining native prairie. The first property was acquired in March, 2013. Since then, 5,787 acres have been added to the Refuge with OHF funding. Of these, 3,400 acres (nearly 60%) are classified as untilled native prairie. Additional habitat includes more than 500 acres of wetlands, more than 10 miles of stream front, and more than 2 miles of lakefront. Offers have been extended to landowners for the protection of 215 additional acres. Talks are ongoing with a long list of interested landowners.

With additional support from the Outdoor Heritage Fund, this program will continue to make real and lasting progress towards protecting Minnesota's native prairies and the wildlife that depend on those lands.

How does the plan address habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and list targeted species?

The NTP NWR program takes the approach that specific species are best protected by conserving high-quality habitat in the most critical prairie areas.

This focus on habitat quality has produced results. Of the 5,787 acres protected, 2,772 have been identified as having significant biodiversity by the Biological Survey. These high-quality lands provide habitat for a wide range of species, from game species to those that are endangered, threatened, or in greatest conservation need.

Biological Survey field work has identified populations of 28 rare species located wholly or partially on NTP NWR properties protected with OHF-funding. Benefited species include:

Birds – Henslow's sparrow (endangered), Wilson's phalarope (threatened), greater prairie-chicken, marbled godwit, short-eared owl, bald eagle, and yellow rail

Butterflies – Dakota skipper (endangered), Poweshiek skipperling (endangered), arogos skipper, Pawnee skipper, and regal fritillary

Fish - Topeka shiner (endangered)

Reptiles - Blanding's turtle (threatened)

Plants – sterile sedge (threatened), hair-like beak rush (threatened), blanket flower, buffalo grass, few-flowered spikerush, Hall's sedge, least moonwort, Missouri milk-vetch, mudwort, prairie mimosa, slender milk-vetch, slender plantain, small white lady's slipper, and western white prairie clover

Highlights this past year included the protection of a parcel with 1.8 miles feet of frontage on a stream identified as critical habitat for the Topeka Shiner (OHF-funding for this program has now protected almost 6 miles of habitat for this federally-listed species), a parcel with oxbow wetlands and a fen, and protection of more than 180 acres of untilled native prairie.

Future acquisition work will be guided by this same focus on high-quality, diverse habitat, benefiting a wide range of species.

Describe how the plan uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey:

Biological Survey data is a critical part of this review. In addition to an evaluation based on the Minnesota Prairie Plan and location in a Prairie Core, Corridor, or Complex, every proposed project is evaluated using Survey information on: 1) native prairie sites, 2) rare, threatened and endangered species locations, and 3) areas of biodiversity significance.

The selection criteria also recognize the importance of building on existing complexes and reducing fragmentation. If a prairie is small or isolated, the animal and plant species that live there are at risk. The best approach is conserving larger areas, like the Prairie Plan's cores/complexes/corridors, that have the scale, species diversity, and connectivity to support functioning prairie systems over the long-term.

The numbers shared above demonstrate this program's success at identifying and protecting biologically significant lands located in areas with existing complexes of habitat and protected lands.

Which two sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are most applicable to this project?

- H1 Protect priority land habitats
- H5 Restore land, wetlands and wetland-associated watersheds

Which two other plans are addressed in this program?

- Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan
- Northern Tallgrass Prairie Habitat Preservation Area (HPA) Final Environmental Impact Statement

Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program?

Forest / Prairie Transition

- Protect, enhance, and restore rare native remnant prairie

Prairie

- Protect, enhance, and restore remnant native prairie, Big Woods forests, and oak savanna

Does this program include leveraged funding?

Yes

Explain the leverage:

This proposal includes \$184,700 in leverage. The USFWS has committed \$62,500 of in-kind staff support from USFWS field staff. A letter has been provided from the USFWS confirming these funds. This phase also includes \$72,200 of support from TNC, covering the unrecovered portion of Direct Support Services (DSS) with private funds. Finally, this round includes \$50,000 in leverage from a Pheasants Forever (PF) North American Wetlands Conservation Act (NAWCA) grant for fee and easement acquisition in western Minnesota. For the four completed rounds of this program, we have exceeded our original leverage estimates by over 20%. We hope to continue that success in this round.

Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Please explain whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose.

This project does not substitute or supplant any previous funding. The work described in this proposal would not be funded or completed without this appropriation.

Non-OHF Appropriations

Year	Source	Amount
2010	USFWS In-kind Contributions	\$308,000
2015	TNC Private Contributions	\$53,354
2016	TNC Private Contributions	\$74,253
2017	TNC Private Contributions	\$917
2016	USFWS In-kind Contributions	\$150,013
2011	USFWS In-kind Contributions	\$144,000
2011	TNC Private Contributions	\$23,200
2010	TNC Private Contributions	\$37,700
2012	USFWS In-kind Contributions	\$139,400
2012	TNC Private Contributions	\$36,100
2014	USFWS In-kind Contributions	\$105,603

2014	TNC Private Contributions	\$64,400
2015	USFWS In-kind Contributions	\$302,316

How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended?

Outdoor Heritage Funds will be used to purchase the land in fee title or to purchase perpetual habitat easements. The land and easements purchased will be transferred to the USFWS to become units of the Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge. Long term costs for restoration, management, and wildlife/habitat/easement monitoring will be funded through annual USFWS operations funding.

Actions to Maintain Project Outcomes

Year	Source of Funds	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
Annually	USFWS Annual Service Operating Funds	Monitoring and management by USFWS managers, biologists, field staff, and realty staff to ensure the long-term health of these habitats. Activities may include burning and mechanical, biological, and chemical treatments.	-	-

Activity Details

Requirements

If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056?

Yes

Will county board or other local government approval be formally sought prior to acquisition, per 97A.056 subd 13(j)?**

No

Describe any measures to inform local governments of land acquisition under their jurisdiction:

We will follow the county/township board notification processes as directed by current statutory language.

Is the land you plan to acquire (fee title) free of any other permanent protection?

Yes

Is the land you plan to acquire (easement) free of any other permanent protection?

Yes

Who will manage the easement?

USFWS staff will manage all easements acquired under this program.

Who will be the easement holder?

The USFWS will be the easement holder on all easements acquired.

What is the anticipated number of easements (range is fine) you plan to accomplish with this appropriation?

It is anticipated that this program will acquire approximately 375 acres in 2 - 5 easements.

Land Use

Will there be planting of any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program?

Yes

Explain what will be planted:

No food plots will be established on OHF-acquired properties. Short-term planting of agricultural crops is an accepted Best Management Practice for preparing a site for prairie restoration. For example, short-term use of soybeans could be used to prepare seedbeds prior to prairie plantings, which has been proven effective in decreasing the need for subsequent invasives control activities, and can shorten the amount of time that additional weed control is needed on the site. In some cases this may necessitate the use of GMO products to facilitate herbicide use to control invasives. Neonicotinoid treated seeds will not be used. We anticipate that the use of agricultural crops would not exceed 3 years on any given OHF-acquired property, and in most cases will be considerably shorter.

Are any of the crop types planted GMO treated?

True

Is this land currently open for hunting and fishing?

No

Will the land be open for hunting and fishing after completion?

Yes

Describe any variation from the State of Minnesota regulations:

Lands acquired by fee title will be open to public hunting and fishing during the open season according to the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act, United States Code, Title 16, Section 668dd, et seq.

Who will eventually own the fee title land?

- Federal

Land acquired in fee will be designated as a:

- National Wildlife Refuge

What is the anticipated number of closed acquisitions (range is fine) you plan to accomplish with this appropriation?

It is anticipated that this program will acquire approximately 245 acres in fee title in 1 - 3 closed acquisition projects.

Will the eased land be open for public use?

No

Are there currently trails or roads on any of the proposed acquisitions?

No

Will new trails or roads be developed or improved as a result of the OHF acquisition?

No

Will the acquired parcels be restored or enhanced within this appropriation?

Yes

Restoration/enhancement activities will be completed where needed. While the focus of this program will be on native prairie and associated complexes of wetlands and native habitats, small areas of acquired parcels will likely consist of converted or degraded habitat with restoration and/or enhancement needs. The majority of restoration/enhancement funds allocated within this appropriation will likely be used for enhancement, including invasive species control and tree/brush removal.

Timeline

Activity Name	Estimated Completion Date
Purchase agreements/options secured for approximately 245 acres of fee and approximately 375 acres of easement parcels	June 30, 2023
Grassland and wetland restoration and enhancement activities on all acquired parcels are completed	June 30, 2028

Date of Final Report Submission: 11/01/2025

Availability of Appropriation: Subd. 7. Availability of Appropriation

Money appropriated in this section may not be spent on activities unless they are directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation and are specified in the accomplishment plan approved by the Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council. Money appropriated in this section must not be spent on indirect costs or other institutional overhead charges that are not directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation. Unless otherwise provided, the amounts in this section are available until June 30, 2023. For acquisition of real property, the amounts in this section are available until June 30, 2024, if a binding agreement with a landowner or purchase agreement is entered into by June 30, 2023, and closed no later than June 30, 2024. Funds for restoration or enhancement are available until June 30, 2025, or five years after acquisition, whichever is later, in order to complete initial restoration or enhancement work. If a project receives at least 15 percent of its funding from federal funds, the time of the appropriation may be extended to equal the availability of federal funding to a maximum of six years if the federal funding was confirmed and included in the original approved draft accomplishment plan. Funds appropriated for fee title acquisition of land may be used to restore, enhance, and provide for public use of the land acquired with the appropriation. Public-use facilities must have a minimal impact on habitat in acquired lands.

Budget

Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan.

Totals

Item	Funding Request	Antic. Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$257,000	\$62,500	USFWS	\$319,500
Contracts	\$92,400	-	-	\$92,400
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	-	-	-	-
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	\$825,000	\$25,000	PF NAWCA	\$850,000
Easement Acquisition	\$985,000	\$25,000	PF NAWCA	\$1,010,000
Easement Stewardship	-	-	-	-
Travel	\$3,800	-	-	\$3,800
Professional Services	\$62,000	-	-	\$62,000
Direct Support Services	\$33,800	\$72,200	TNC	\$106,000
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	-	-	-	-
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other Equipment/Tools	\$5,000	-	-	\$5,000
Supplies/Materials	\$31,000	-	-	\$31,000
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
Grand Total	\$2,295,000	\$184,700	-	\$2,479,700

Personnel

Position	Annual FTE	Years Working	Funding Request	Antic. Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
TNC Staff	0.86	3.0	\$257,000	-	-	\$257,000
USFWS In-kind/Volunteer Contributions	0.0	0.0	-	\$62,500	USFWS	\$62,500

Amount of Request: \$2,295,000

Amount of Leverage: \$184,700

Leverage as a percent of the Request: 8.05%

DSS + Personnel: \$290,800

As a % of the total request: 12.67%

Easement Stewardship: -

As a % of the Easement Acquisition: -

How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

The budget and accomplishments were reduced roughly in proportion to the difference between the original request and appropriation recommendation, which was approximately 25% of the request. The proposed accomplishments were reduced to 24% of the request to account for economies of scale compared to a larger program.

Describe and explain leverage source and confirmation of funds:

The Personnel line includes USFWS in-kind support. Fee acquisition w/o PILT and easement acquisition include

leverage from a Pheasants Forever NAWCA fee and easement acquisition grant. The leverage offered on the DSS line reflects the unrecovered portion of the Conservancy's federally approved indirect rate. These funds are privately raised.

Contracts

What is included in the contracts line?

These funds are entirely for restoration and enhancement work. While this program targets primarily high-quality habitats, small areas included in the acquisition projects may need enhancement and/or restoration. It is anticipated that the majority of the contract work will consist of invasive species removal and tree/brush removal activities.

Travel

Does the amount in the travel line include equipment/vehicle rental?

Yes

Explain the amount in the travel line outside of traditional travel costs of mileage, food, and lodging

Rental car expense is also included.

I understand and agree that lodging, meals, and mileage must comply with the current MMB Commissioner Plan:

No

Direct Support Services

How did you determine which portions of the Direct Support Services of your shared support services is direct to this program?

DSS is based on The Nature Conservancy's Federally Negotiated Rate (FNR) as proposed and subsequently approved by the US Dept. of Interior on an annual basis. In this proposal we are requesting reimbursement of 7.5% of eligible base costs as determined by our annual FNR and based on suggestions from the Council in last year's hearings. The unrecovered portion of the approved rates through the life of the grant is offered as leverage. Examples of expenses included in the FNR include in-house legal counsel; finance, HR and administrative functions; and IT support. The FNR does not include land acquisition or capital equipment costs over \$50,000.

Federal Funds

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program?

Yes

Are the funds confirmed?

Yes

Is Confirmation Document attached?

[Yes](#)

- Cash : \$50,000
- In Kind : \$62,500

Output Tables**Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)**

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Acres
Restore	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	0	245	0	0	245
Protect in Easement	0	375	0	0	375
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	620	0	0	620

How many of these Prairie acres are Native Prairie? (Table 1b)

Type	Native Prairie (acres)
Restore	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	82
Protect in Easement	251
Enhance	0
Total	333

Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	\$1,046,000	-	-	\$1,046,000
Protect in Easement	-	\$1,249,000	-	-	\$1,249,000
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	\$2,295,000	-	-	\$2,295,000

Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Acres
Restore	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	0	12	0	233	0	245
Protect in Easement	0	19	0	356	0	375
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	31	0	589	0	620

Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	\$52,300	-	\$993,700	-	\$1,046,000
Protect in Easement	-	\$62,400	-	\$1,186,600	-	\$1,249,000
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	\$114,700	-	\$2,180,300	-	\$2,295,000

Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type (Table 5)

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat
------	---------	---------	--------	---------

Restore	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	\$4,269	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	\$3,330	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-

Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section (Table 6)

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	\$4,358	-	\$4,264	-
Protect in Easement	-	\$3,284	-	\$3,333	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-

Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

Outcomes

Programs in forest-prairie transition region:

- Remnant native prairies are part of large complexes of restored prairies, grasslands, and large and small wetlands ~ *The percent of native remnant prairie, as determined by the Minnesota Biological Survey and/or FWS biologists, will be documented on each parcel, as will the proximity to other protected land and neighboring habitat types, including oak savanna, wetlands, and Big Woods forest. These factors are considered in the ranking criteria for each parcel.*

Programs in prairie region:

- Remnant native prairies and wetlands are perpetually protected and adequately buffered ~ *The percent of native remnant prairie, as determined by the Minnesota Biological Survey and/or FWS biologists, will be documented on each parcel. Surrounding natural habitat types and cropped areas will be evaluated as part of the ranking criteria for submitted parcels.*

Parcels

For restoration and enhancement programs ONLY: Managers may add, delete, and substitute projects on this parcel list based upon need, readiness, cost, opportunity, and/or urgency so long as the substitute parcel/project forwards the constitutional objectives of this program in the Project Scope table of this accomplishment plan. The final accomplishment plan report will include the final parcel list.

Parcel Information

Sign-up Criteria?

No

Explain the process used to identify, prioritize, and select the parcels on your list:

Protect Parcels


Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection
NA	Becker	14142236	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Becker	14142233	99	\$1,000	No
Big Stone #8	Big Stone	12044203	99	\$201,000	No
NA	Big Stone	12446212	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Big Stone	12446209	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Brown	10834216	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Brown	10834213	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Chippewa	11739213	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Chippewa	11739216	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Clay	14247201	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Clay	14247204	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Cottonwood	10734220	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Cottonwood	10734223	99	\$1,000	No
Cottonwood #1	Cottonwood	10838207	5	\$17,000	No
NA	Grant	13044233	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Grant	13044236	99	\$1,000	No
Jackson #3	Jackson	10435230	122	\$171,300	No
NA	Jackson	10436219	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Jackson	10436222	99	\$1,000	No
Jackson #2	Jackson	10135235	154	\$225,500	No
NA	Kandiyohi	12236207	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Kandiyohi	12236210	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Kittson	16045206	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Kittson	16045203	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Lac qui Parle	11943209	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Lac qui Parle	11943212	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Lincoln	10945214	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Lincoln	10945217	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Lyon	11243218	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Lyon	11243215	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Mahnomen	14642208	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Mahnomen	14642211	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Marshall	15746216	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Marshall	15746213	99	\$1,000	No
Murray #10	Murray	10643228	62	\$128,700	No
NA	Murray	10740207	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Murray	10740210	99	\$1,000	No

NA	Nicollet	11132208	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Nicollet	11132211	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Nobles	10140228	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Nobles	10140225	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Norman	14647204	99	\$1,000	Yes
NA	Norman	14647201	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Otter Tail	13244205	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Otter Tail	13244202	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Pennington	15345230	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Pennington	15345227	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Pipestone	10846222	99	\$1,000	No
Pipestone #12	Pipestone	10647213	96	\$290,000	No
Pipestone # 11	Pipestone	10747201	120	\$298,000	No
NA	Pipestone	10846219	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Polk	15449204	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Polk	15449201	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Pope	12336216	99	\$1,000	No
Pope # 20	Pope	12337223	78	\$825,000	No
NA	Pope	12336213	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Red Lake	15144201	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Red Lake	15144204	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Redwood	11336204	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Redwood	11336201	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Renville	11437219	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Renville	11437222	99	\$1,000	No
Rock #15	Rock	10345217	31	\$255,000	No
Rock #17	Rock	10344233	161	\$351,500	No
NA	Rock	10345228	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Rock	10345225	99	\$1,000	No
Rock #16	Rock	10447213	62	\$126,000	No
NA	Roseau	16242207	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Roseau	16242210	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Stearns	12335213	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Stearns	12335216	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Stevens	12543213	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Stevens	12543216	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Swift	12042212	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Swift	12042209	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Traverse	12548222	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Traverse	12548219	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Wilkin	13647205	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Wilkin	13647202	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Yellow Medicine	11546224	99	\$1,000	No
NA	Yellow Medicine	11546221	99	\$1,000	No

Protect Parcels with Buildings

Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection	Buildings	Value of Buildings
Big Stone #9	Big Stone	11245234	100	\$493,000	No	1	\$0

Parcel Map



- Protect in Easement
- ▲ Protect in Fee with PILT
- Protect in Fee W/O PILT
- ★ Restore
- ✕ Enhance
- ⊕ Other